

## REMARKS

In response to the Office Action of June 30, 2005, claims 4, 9, 21, 24 and 31 have been amended. Claims 1, 3-24 and 26-38 are now pending.

In paragraph 3 on page 2 of the Office Action, claims 4, 9, 21, 24 and 31 were objected to as containing typographical errors.

Applicants respectfully traverse the objection, but in the interest of expediting prosecution have amended claims 4, 9, 21, 24 and 31. Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments do not narrow the scope of the claims.

In paragraph 5 on page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1, 3-11, 16-24 and 26-34 were rejected under § 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolff.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Wolff discloses a network attached printer. However, according to Wolff, a client 210 transmits a document request directly to server 220 and 230, wherein the servers 220 and 230 then transmit the document data to printer server 255. The printer server 255 implements the document handling operations and formatting. In other embodiments, document handling operations and formatting may be implemented at other servers (e.g., servers 220 or 230) prior to being received at printer server 255.

Wolff also discloses that a bookmaker option is provided. The bookmaker option is a document handling operation performed at printer server 255, wherein the print server adds control buttons 460 to documents prior to transmitting the documents to the clients. The control buttons, when activated within the at least one document, enable a client to interact with the print server 255.

Accordingly, Wolff does not provide a uniform resource locator to a print job acceptor using a single user action and then acquire and print the document by the print job acceptor based upon the uniform resource locator. Rather, according to Wolff, a request for printing a document is sent to a print server and the print server adds control buttons to documents prior to transmitting the documents back to the clients. The client then can click on "PRINT" to cause the print server to print the document.

Clearly, the process described by Wolff does not allow a printer to acquire and print a document based upon receipt of a uniform resource locator that is provided to the printer via a single user action.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that the Wolff fails to disclose, teach or suggest Applicants invention.

On the basis of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of this application and its allowance are requested.

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this communication, please contact Attorney for Applicant, David W. Lynch, at 423-757-0264.

Respectfully submitted,

Chambliss, Bahner and Stophel  
1000 Tallan Building  
Two Union Square  
Chattanooga, TN 37402  
423-757-0264

By: 

Name: David W. Lynch  
Reg. No.: 36,204